IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.10120 of 2010 Date of Decision: December 15, 2011.

K.C.RaghavPetitioner

versus

State Information Commission, Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms.Sudeepti Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.K.S.Rekhi, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

-.-

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.3, namely, the Public Information Officer-cum-Principal, Hindu College, Amritsar, as well as the learned State counsel, on the basis of the averments made in their respective reply-affidavits, state that whatever information was sought by the petitioner relating to the Hindu College, Amritsar, has been supplied to him. They further state that if the petitioner needs any other information in respect of that College, let him move an application as per the prescribed form and the said information shall also be supplied to him.

In view of the categoric stand taken by the respondents, no further directions are called for in this case.

Disposed of.

<u>Dasti.</u>

December 15, 2011

Mohinder

(SURYA KANT) JUDGE