Kerala High Court Kerala High Court

R.Jayamohanan, S/O Ramakrishna ... vs R.N.Dhar, General Manager Cum ... on 24 July, 2008 IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM WP(C).No. 21984 of 2008(P)

1. R.JAYAMOHANAN, S/O RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, ... Petitioner

Vs

- 1. R.N.DHAR, GENERAL MANAGER CUM COMPANY ... Respondent
- 2. DR.TAMAL DATTA CHAUDHURI, CHIEF GENERAL
- 3. CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION, For Petitioner: SRI.A.D.SHAJAN

For Respondent :SRI.P.PARAMESWARAN NAIR, ASST. SOLICITOR The Hon'ble MR. Justice S.SIRI JAGAN Dated :24/07/2008

ORDER

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P.(C).No. 21984 of 2008

Dated this the 24th day of July, 2008 J U D G M E N T

The petitioner invoked the provisions of the Right to Information Act for getting a copy of the report prepared under Section 16(2) of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act. The same was not furnished, instead a copy of the Scheme prepared by the BIFR published in the newspapers was furnished stating that the Scheme contains the particulars of the report. The petitioner's first appeal did not meet with success. Therefore, the petitioner filed a second appeal before the 3rd respondent, a copy of which is produced as Ext.P8. The petitioner now seeks a direction to the 3rd respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P8 expeditiously.

- 2. I have heard the learned Assistant Solicitor General appearing for respondents 1 and 2 also. In the nature of the order I propose to pass, I do not think it necessary to issue notice to the 3rd respondent at this juncture. w.p.c.21984/08 2
- 3. In so far as the petitioner has filed Ext.P8 appeal before the 3rd respondent, the 3rd respondent shall consider and pass orders on the same as expeditiously as possible. This, the 3rd respondent shall do within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment, after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner. The writ petition is disposed of as above. Sd/-

1

sdk+ S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE ///True copy///

P.A. to Judge