

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

CWP No. 1240 of 2012-J

Date of Decision 15th May, 2012

*Swapan Kumar Thakur, son of Sh. Lal Ram Thakur, resident of village
Dhar Post Office Tatoh, District Bilaspur HPPetitioner.*

Versus

*1. State Information Commission, Himachal Pradesh, Majithia House,
Shimla-2 through its Registrar.*

*2. The Public Information Commissioner-cum-Assistant Registrar,
Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, Nigam Vihar,
Shimla.*

....Respondents.

*Civil Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of
India.*

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dev Darshan Sud, J.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the Petitioner:	In person.
For Respondent No.1:	Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate vice Mr. Ajay Chandel, Advocate.
For Respondent No.2:	Mr. D.K. Khanna, Advocate.

Dev Darshan Sud, J (oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 8th May, 2012, inspection of the answer scripts of the petitioner as directed has been granted by the Public Service Commission on two days i.e. 10th and 11th May, 2012. The petitioner accepts that answer scripts are those which have been written by him. The answer scripts

¹ *Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes*

alongwith papers have been resealed. The petitioner has taken notes with respect to the alleged shortcomings etc. found in the answer scripts. It would not be appropriate for this Court in these proceedings to pronounce upon the defects/discrepancies pointed out. It will be open to the petitioner to challenge the evaluation and marking of his answer scripts and urge any other ground available to him in accordance with law in separate proceedings. A direction is issued to the Public Service Commission that the answer scripts and the question papers shall be kept in safe custody under a sealed cover till and so long the other petition filed by the petitioner is not disposed of in accordance with law.

May 15, 2012
ms

(Dev Darshan Sud),
Judge