<u>Court No. - 29</u>

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 11351 of 2011

**Petitioner :-** Nitin Chauhan **Respondent :-** State Information Commissioner, And Others **Petitioner Counsel :-** Piyush Srivastava **Respondent Counsel :-** C. S. C.

## <u>Hon'ble Sunil Ambwani,J.</u> <u>Hon'ble Mrs. Jayashree Tiwari,J.</u>

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned Standing Counsel appears for the State respondents.

The petitioner is aggrieved by an order dated 12.11.2009 of the State Information Commissioner in which it is observed that it appears that he deliberately did not make the information available to the applicant. The Commission has imposed a fine of Rs. 250/-per day subject to maximum of Rs. 25,000/- and has fixed 19.2.2010 for hearing with regard to the information, which has not been given to the applicant so far.

It is admitted that the petitioner did not appear on the date fixed in the Commission on 12.11.2000. He had deputed an officer to represent him.

Prima facie there is nothing to show that the officer deputed by the petitioner had appeared and represented him.

Be that as it may, the matter is still pending in the Commission. The petitioner will have an opportunity to appear and explain the circumstances. He may also request for recalling the order.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

```
Order Date :- 24.2.2011
RKP
```